## Re: Fw: Questions for Upper Animas data

Katharine Lima to: Forrest.Sabrina 06/15/2011 05:18 PM

From: Katharine Lima < kncj@sbcglobal.net>

To:

Cc: Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov, Barry\_Hayhurst@urscorp.com

## OK, thanks for the information.

Katharine Lima

**Environmental Scientist** 

CSC

2119 South Fourth Street

Springfield, Illinois 62703

Science, Engineering and Mission Support

p: 217.525.8756 kncj@sbcglobal.net | www.csc.com

This is a PRIVATE message. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete without copying and kindly advise us by e-mail of the mistake in delivery.

NOTE: Regardless of content, this e-mail shall not operate to bind CSC to any order or other contract unless pursuant to explicit written agreement or government initiative expressly permitting the use of e-mail for such purpose.

## --- On Wed, 6/15/11, Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov < Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:

From: Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov <Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov>

Subject: Fw: Questions for Upper Animas data

To: kncj@sbcglobal.net

Cc: Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov, Barry Hayhurst@urscorp.com

Date: Wednesday, June 15, 2011, 4:28 PM

Katharine, I asked Barry to compile all the raw data and validation reports electronically to share with CSC as part of your technical support activities. A couple of START staff are out, so there might be a delay, but I hope not.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest

**NPL Coordinator &** 

Site Assessment Manager

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B

Denver, CO 80202-1129 Direct Ph: 303-312-6484

Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484

Fax: 303-312-6065

Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

----- Forwarded by Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US on 06/15/2011 03:24 PM

From: Barry\_Hayhurst@URSCorp.com
To: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 06/15/2011 01:47 PM

Subject: Questions for Upper Animas data

Delving into the data for the Upper Animas project I have determined that:

The sediment data was done by CLP and validated normally (i.e. a hard copy validation report and standard Form Is-----all good for

references for the HRS package---no problems.

The surface water samples were done by ESAT (EPA Region 8 lab). Some analytes within an individual sample were done by Method 200.7 (Atomic Adsorption) and other analytes within the sample were done by Method 200.8 (Mass Spec).. The atomic adsorption analytes were not diluted, however many of the mass spec analyses were diluted 5X..

Question 1. Does this use of two methods and resulting two different dilutions on the same sample require any special handling and reporting to be included in the HRS document record?

The surface water data was validated electronically. All I have is a database with qualifiers. There does not appear to be a written validation report. The database does not distinguish between laboratory qualifiers and validator's qualifiers. The qualifiers are just in the database.

Question 2. Will this level of detail be sufficient for the HRS document record?

Also, I've noticed that Megan did not include a copy of her logbooks with the draft ARR. We will want that later.

This e-mail and any attachments contain URS Corporation confidential information that may be proprietary or privileged. If you receive this message in error or are not the intended recipient, you should not retain, distribute, disclose or use any of this information and you should destroy the e-mail and any attachments or copies.